Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/047,100	MILLER ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Erin M. File	2634
All Participants:	Status of Application	n: <u>pending</u>
(1) Erin M. File.	(3)	
(2) Leonard Alkov, Reg. No. 30,021.	(4)	•
Date of Interview: 26 July 2005	Time: <u>6:20PM</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Appl Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	icant's representative)	,
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 23		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.	IEDAL MATURE OF MALA	T WAS DISSUISSED.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GEN Examiner asked Attorney to canel Claim 23 in order to make a		
Part III.		
It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate	a record of the cubetones	of the intension, since the intension
directly resulted in the allowance of the application. I of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summ	The examiner will provide a record of the substance	a written summary of the substance of the interview, since the interview
•		
•	,	
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applica	ant/Applicant's Representa	tive Signature – if appropriate)